

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/779,300	02/08/2001	Peter Kwasny	KWASNY-2	4557	
7590 11/10/2004 COLLARD & ROE, P.C. 1077 Northern Boulevard			EXAMINER		
			REDDICK, MARIE L		
Roslyn, NY 11576			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1713		
		•	DATE MAILED: 11/10/2004	ļ.	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary Defice Action Summary			Application No.	Applicant(a)	
Examiner	Office Action Summary			Applicant(s)	
Udy M. Reddick					
The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address − Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALINED DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. It has precided by the Cities of the Communication of the State (s) (MONTHS town the making state of this communication. If the period is reply specified above is less lam thing (30) stays, a reply which the adulatory minimum of timing (30) stays will be considered timoly. If the period is reply specified above is less lam thing (30) stays, a reply which the datalised price of the period of the communication of the period of the period of the communication of the period of the period of the communication of the period of the period of the communication of the period of the pe					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. E-detensions of time may be available under the provision of 3 CFR 1.13(6). In no event, bowerer, may a reply be timely fined by the provision of the provision of 10 CFR 1.13(6). In no event, bowerer, may a reply be timely fined by the provision of t	The MAILING DATE of this	communication anne	Judy M. Reddick	1713	
The control of the co	Period for Reply	and an appe	sals on the cover sheet M	nun ine correspondence address	i
Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 August 2004. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3)	- Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date - If the period for reply specified above is less - If NO period for reply is specified above, the second to reply within the set or extended per Any reply received by the Office later than the	OMINUNICATION. The provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 of this communication. Than thirty (30) days, a reply waximum statutory period will find for reply will, by statute, or the months after the mailing.	5(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of thi II apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communic	cation.
2a) This action is FINAL 2b This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1,3 and 4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filled on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filled on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The advance of required that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Notice of Indrama Patent Application (PTO-152) Piper Not(s)/Mail Date. *Separe Not(s)/Mail Date. *Separe Not(s)/Mail Date. *Separe Not(s)/Mail Date. *Separe					
2a) This action is FINAL 2b This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s)	1) Responsive to communicati	ion(a) filad an 44 A.	- (000)		
3	2a)⊠ This action is FINAI				
Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1.3 and 4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are lowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) as _4 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 4Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * o) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of Parasperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		ondition for allowand	action is non-final.		
Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1.3 and 4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7 □ Claim(s) is/are robjected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 4Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: □ □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of Prefirerences Cited (PTO-892) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.	closed in accordance with the	he practice under Ev	r nada Ousulo, 1025 C F	ers, prosecution as to the merit	s is
4) Claim(s) 1.3 and 4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.3 & 4 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.		is practice and Ex	parte Quayle, 1933 C.L	7. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)					
Special contents sister					
6) Claim(s) 1, 3 & 4 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 80 Claim (PTO-152) 10 Other: 10 Claim(s) All formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 11 Claim(s) All formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 12 Claim(s) All formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 13 Claim(s) All formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 14 Claim(s) All formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 15 Claim(s) All formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 16 Claim(s) All formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 17 Claim(s) All formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 18 Claim(s) All formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 19 Claim(s) All formal Patent Ap	4a) Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawr	n from consideration.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:					
8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9					
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 9 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 10 Other: 11 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 12 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 13 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	8) Claim(s) are subject t	to restriction and/or e	election requirement.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:	Application Papers				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:	9) The specification is objected	to by the Evaminor			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 6) Other:	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on	is/are: a) accen	tod or b)□ objected to		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) CS Patent and Trademark Office	Applicant may not request that	nv objection to the de	red or b) objected to t	by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) See Patent and Tademark Office	Replacement drawing sheet(s) i	including the correction	awing(s) be neid in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Faltent and Trademark Office	11) The oath or declaration is obj	ected to by the Even	is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	1(d).
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1) Other: 10. Other: 11. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10. Other: 11. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10. Other: 11. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10. Other: 11. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 12. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 13. Copies of the priority documents have been received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 13. Copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 14. Copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 15. Copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 16. Copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 17. Copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 18. Copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 18. Copies of the priority documents have been received in Appl	1	ected to by the Exam	niner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152	
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	12) Acknowledgment is made of a	a claim for foreign pr	iority under 35 U.S.C. &	119(a)-(d) or (f)	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ Nor	ne of:	,		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	1.☐ Certified copies of the	priority documents h	ave been received		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Certified copies not received in this National Stage application (Pto-152) Patent and Trademark Office	2. Certified copies of the	priority documents h	ave been received in Ar	unlication No	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date U.S. Patent and Trademark Office * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	3. Copies of the certified	copies of the priority	documents have been r	eceived in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	application from the Int	ernational Bureau (F	PCT Rule 17 2(a))	occived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date U.S. Patent and Trademark Office 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	* See the attached detailed Office	e action for a list of t	the certified copies not re	eceived	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date U.S. Patent and Trademark Office 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:				5001¥0 4 ,	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date U.S. Patent and Trademark Office 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date U.S. Patent and Trademark Office					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) 🔲 Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413)	
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC)	eview (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/	Mail Date	
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	1449 or PTO/SB/08)	⊃) ∐ Notice of Info 6) ∏ Other:	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	,
	U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	055 8-11			

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The AMENDMENT filed 06/04/04 is insufficient to overcome the rejection of claims 1, 3 & 4 based upon EP 0030840 (Barrenstein et al) as set forth in the last Office action. Moreover, claim 3 of the amendment is non-compliant in that its text is incorrect. Claim 3 (original) should read as follows; "The aerosol preparation according to claim 1, wherein the OH-number is between 130 and 140". Furthermore, the listing of claims is slightly illegible.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The recited "average molecular weight of from 2500 to 4500" per claim 3 constitutes indefinite subject matter as per it not being readily ascertainable as to the type of molecular weight intended, i.e., "weight average" or "number average", the two being substantially different as substantiated by any basic polymer chemistry textbook.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/779,300

Art Unit: 1713

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 1, 3 & 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over EP 0030840 (Barrenstein et al).

Barrenstein et al disclose a ready-for-use aerosol derived from a two-component polyurethane lacquer((hydroxyl group-containing acrylic copolymer containing 1 to 7 wt. % of hydroxyl groups and a hardener such as an aliphatic polyisocyanate)) + propellant(propane and/or butane), atomizable from a pressurized container, wherein the acrylic copolymer is derived from styrene and/or vinyl toluene, esters of (meth)acrylic acid and hydroxyalkyl(meth)acrylates and preferably includes copolymers having the following monomer composition: 40-60 wt.% of styrene, vinyl toluene or methyl methacrylate; 10-50 wt.% of hydroxy ethyl (meth)acrylate, hydroxy propyl (meth)acrylate; 10-50 wt.% of methyl acrylate, ethyl acrylate, butyl acrylate and/or 2-ethylhexyl acrylate and wherein copolymer, in solution, has a general concentration of 30 to 60 wt. %, sufficient to meet the high solid, medium solid and low solid acrylic resin containing OH-groups per claims 1, 4 & 6, wherein the copolymer containing hydroxyl groups dissolved in a solvent together with a propellant is contained in a first pressurized container and the hardener component dissolved in a solvent together with a propellant is contained in a second pressurized container and wherein the "ready-for-use" form is obtained by transfer of one component from its pressurized container into the pressurized container of the second component and the two components are mixed and wherein the contents of the copolymer present in the first pressurized container and of the polyisocyanate present in the second pressurized container are so proportioned that on combination of the two components, a mixture results which contains 60 to 96 wt. % copolymer and 4 to 40 percent of polyisocyanate. See, e.g., the Abstract, page 1, lines 1-14, page 3, lines 12-33, page 4, lines 1-33, page 5, lines 1-21, page 6, lines 1-10, col. 7, lines 7-28, page 8, lines 14-26, page 9, lines 13-32, page 10, lines 1-24 and the Runs of Barrenstein et al. Barrenstein et al therefore anticipate the instantly claimed invention with the understanding that the two-component polyurethane lacquer of Barrenstein et al overlaps in scope

Application/Control Number: 09/779,300

Art Unit: 1713

with the aerosol preparation per the claimed invention. It is reasonably presumed that the OH-number of the acrylic resin per the claimed invention may be met by the OH group-containing acrylic copolymer per Barrenstein et al since the copolymer is essentially the same as and made in essentially the same manner as the claimed acrylic resin containing OH-groups. It has been held that where applicant claims a composition/component in terms of function, property or characteristic where said function is not explicitly shown by the reference and where the examiner has explained why the function, property or characteristic is considered inherent in the prior art, it is appropriate for the examiner to make a rejection under both the applicable section of 35 USC 102 and 35 USC 103 such that the burden is placed upon the applicant to provide clear evidence that the respective compositions do in fact differ. In re Best, 195 USPQ 430, 433 (CCPA 1977); In re Fitzgerald et al., 205 USPQ 594, 596 (CCPA 1980).

The manner in which the components are combined is immaterial. When the prior art discloses a product which reasonably appears to be either identical with or only slightly different than a product claimed in a product-by-process claim it is appropriate for the examiner to make a rejection under <u>both</u> the applicable section of 35 USC 102 and 35 USC 103 such that the burden is placed upon applicant to provide clear and convincing factual evidence that the respective products do in fact differ in kind - In re Brown, 59 CCPA 1063, 173 USPQ 685 (1972); In re Fessman, 180 USPQ 324 (CCPA 1974) - and to come forward with evidence establishing <u>unobvious</u> differences between the claimed product and the prior art product. In re Marosi 218 USPQ 290.

As to the dependent claims, the limitations, if not taught or suggested by Barrenstein et al would have been obvious to the skilled artisan and with a reasonable expectation of success.

Even if it turns out that the claims are not anticipated by the disclosure of Barrenstein et al, it would have been obvious to the skilled artisan to extrapolate, from the disclosure of Barrenstein et al, the precisely defined aerosol preparation, as claimed, as per such having been within the purview of the general disclosure of Barrenstein et al and with a reasonable expectation of success. Moreover, the use of any commercially available acrylic resin containing OH-groups in lieu of the acrylic copolymer of Barrenstein et al would have been obvious to the skilled artisan and with a reasonable expectation of success.

Art Unit: 1713

Response to Arguments

Page 5

7. Applicant's arguments filed 06/04/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Relative to Barrenstein et al----The crux of Counsel's arguments appears to hinge on the acrylic resins of Barrenstein et al containing styrene and having an OH number of 33-66/propane-butane mixture and 130 to 140/dimethyl ether-propane-butane mixture vs. the claimed invention comprising an acrylic resin having no styrene, an OH number less than 150/propane-butane mixture (Claim 1) and an acrylic resin having an OH-number between 130 and 140/propane-butane mixture (Claim 4).

At the onset, Counsel admits that the acrylic resins of Barrenstein et al have OH numbers from 33 to 66 and from 130 to 140 which clearly meets the antecedently recited OH-number ranges, as claimed with the understanding that the claims, in there present form do not preclude "dimethyl ether" since comprising is an open door invite for additional components and do not exclude the presence of other ingredients in a composition, i.e., "comprising" leaves the claim open for the inclusion of unspecified ingredients, even in major amounts (Ex parte Davis, 80 USPQ 448 (PTO Bd. App. 1948)). As to the acrylic resin of Barrenstein et al, Counsel is cordially directed to the paragraph bridging pages 4 and 5 wherein one having ordinary skill in the art would have clearly envisaged the use of acrylic copolymers devoid of styrene.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action.

Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Application/Control Number: 09/779,300

Art Unit: 1713

Page 6

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Judy M. Reddick whose telephone number is (571)272-1110. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 6:30 a.m.-3:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on (571)272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Judy M. Redoluck Judy M. Reddick Primary Examiner Art Unit 1713

JMR **Jord** 11/08/04